

**FILED**

**MAR 10 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

DANIEL FIGUEROA AGUIRRE; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75697

Agency Nos. A95-306-072

A95-306-073

A95-306-074

A95-306-075

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 6, 2006\*\*

Before: BEEZER, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record indicates that the questions raised in this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument because petitioners' motion to reopen was filed almost five months too late and the record indicates

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-75697

that petitioners did not exercise due diligence. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2); *Socop-Gonzales v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1178 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc); *United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily deny this petition for review.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

**DENIED.**